Babar anomaly and the pion form factors Adam Szczepaniak Indiana University

Form-factors :: quark/gluon structure of hadrons

Babar anomaly and the pion form factors Adam Szczepaniak

Form-factors :: quark/gluon structure of hadrons

high Q^2 :: LO pQCD (twist/ α_s expansion)



Indiana University

Babar anomaly and the pion form factors Adam Szczepaniak Indiana University

 $\Omega^4 \frac{G^P_M(\Omega^2)}{G^P_M(0)}$

(GeV⁴)

0.4

0.2

Form-factors :: quark/gluon structure of hadrons

high Q^2 :: LO pQCD (twist/ α_s expansion)

Questions:

 Role of hadronic vs partonic d.o.f
Is there indication that all-orders re-summation is needed

with M.Gorshtein, P.Guo, J.L.Londergan, F.L.Estrada









problems with LO pQCD in exclusive reactions

similar final states but different asymptotic predictions

 $\Delta t \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^{-1}$

3



 $s = q^2$

$sF_{2\pi}(s) \sim O(\alpha_s(Q^2))$

 π^+

 π

... but it does look different on the Light Front

> S.Brodsky,P.Lepage A.Radyushkin, A.Efremov







* Dispersive analysis

$$F(s) = F(0) + \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{s_{th}} ds' \frac{\text{Im } F(s')}{s'(s'-s)}$$

 $F = F_{2\pi}, F_{\gamma\pi}$

* Dispersive analysis

$$F(s) = F(0) + \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{s_{th}} ds' \frac{\text{Im } F(s')}{s'(s'-s)}$$

$$F = F_{2\pi}, F_{\gamma\pi}$$

ſ

EM F.Factor



Im
$$F_{2\pi}(s) = t_{2\pi,2\pi}^* \rho_{2\pi} F_{2\pi} + t_{2\pi,K\bar{K}}^* \rho_{2K} F_{2K} + \sum_X t_{2\pi,X}^* \rho_X F_X$$

= $t^* \rho F_{2\pi} + R$

$$t(s) = \int dz_s t^{I=1}(s, t(z_s)) P_1(z_s) \qquad \rho(s) = (1 - s/s_{th})^{1/2}$$

* Dispersive analysis

$$F(s) = F(0) + \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{s_{th}} ds' \frac{\text{Im } F(s')}{s'(s'-s)}$$

$$F = F_{2\pi}, F_{\gamma\pi}$$

ſ

EM F.Factor



Im
$$F_{2\pi}(s) = t_{2\pi,2\pi}^* \rho_{2\pi} F_{2\pi} + t_{2\pi,K\bar{K}}^* \rho_{2K} F_{2K} + \sum_X t_{2\pi,X}^* \rho_X F_X$$

= $t^* \rho F_{2\pi} + R$

$$t(s) = \int dz_s t^{I=1}(s, t(z_s)) P_1(z_s) \qquad \rho(s) = (1 - s/s_{th})^{1/2}$$

$$F_{2\pi}(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_{th}} ds' \frac{t^*(s')\rho(s')F_{2\pi}(s') + R(s')}{s'(s'-s)}$$

(so far) Exact representation of the electromagnetic form factor



elastic inelastic $R(s') \propto \theta(s' - (4m_{\pi})^2)$

 $F_{2\pi}(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_{th}} ds' \frac{t^*(s')\rho(s')F_{2\pi}(s') + R(s')}{s'(s'-s)}$



elastic inelastic $R(s') \propto \theta(s' - (4m_{\pi})^2)$ $F_{2\pi}(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_{th}} ds' \frac{t^*(s')\rho(s')F_{2\pi}(s') + R(s')}{s'(s'-s)}$

$$F_{2\pi}(s) = \frac{N(s)}{D(s)} \qquad \longleftarrow \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{inelastic cut} \\ \text{elastic cut} \end{array}$$





$$F_{2\pi}(s) = \frac{N(s)}{D(s)} \quad \xleftarrow{\text{ inelastic cut}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{ elastic cut}}$$

$$N(s) = 1 + \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{s_i} ds' \frac{D(s') \text{Re R}(s')}{[1 - it^*(s')\rho(s')]s'(s' - s)}$$
$$D(s) = \exp\left(-\frac{s}{\pi} \int_{s_{th}} ds' \frac{\phi(s')}{s'(s' - s)}\right)$$

 $\phi = \arctan \operatorname{Re} t / (1 - \operatorname{Im} t \rho)$





$$F_{2\pi}(s) = \frac{N(s)}{D(s)} \qquad \xleftarrow{\text{ inelastic cut}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{ elastic cut}}$$

$$N(s) = 1 + \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{s_i} ds' \frac{D(s') \operatorname{Re} \, \mathbf{R}(s')}{[1 - it^*(s')\rho(s')]s'(s' - s)}$$
$$D(s) = \exp\left(-\frac{s}{\pi} \int_{s_{th}} ds' \frac{\phi(s')}{s'(s' - s)}\right)$$

output: $F_{2\pi}(s)$

on shell P-wave ππ amplitude

 $\phi = \arctan \operatorname{Re} t / (1 - \operatorname{Im} t \rho)$

input: t(s), R(s)

on shell, exclusive ππ-> X amplitudes + associated form factors



 $\pi\pi$ P-wave amplitude

150 -

100

50

0.6

0.8

1.2

1.4

1.6

1.8



t^{*}_{x, ππ}

 $F_{2\pi}$

, π⁺

`_π΄

+

 \sum_{x}

γ*

Im



 $t = \frac{\eta e^{2i\delta} - 1}{2i\rho}$











лл,лл

КК,лл











why reggezation enhances amplitudes

"leading Fock components"





why reggezation enhances amplitudes









-10

s [GeV

large-s region

-40

-30

-20



Figure 3: Left panel: experimental data for $|Q^2 F_{\eta\gamma}(Q^2)|$ in the space-like region from Refs. [23, 22] and high- Q^2 timelike data from Refs. [24] in comparison with unitarized VDM (solid line) and our full model (VDM + Regge) with $\mu^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$ (dashed line). Right panel: the same for $|Q^2 F_{\eta'\gamma}(Q^2)|$.

* In the available energy range f.factors dominated by resonances

Complete analysis requires self consistency: (e.g kaon form factor, Im part of inelasticity)

* Importance of Regge trajectories and not elementary particles